Interview Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

08/973,021

rsen, Mouritsen, Hindersson, Duch, Sorensen, Dal

Examiner

WILLIAM SANDALS

Group Art Unit 1636



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):
(1) WILLIAM SANDALS	(3)
(2) Bill Player, Esq.	
Date of Interview Jun 15, 2001	
Type: a) X Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant	2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) X No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: 118-139	
Identification of prior art discussed: Art of record.	
Agreement with respect to the claims $-f$) was reached	g)X was not reached. h) N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments:	nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or
	utstanding 35 USC 103 rejection. It has been determined to
sustain said rejection, since it is felt that upon further cons	sideration, the outstanding rejection of claims 71-117 is a valid
rejection, which also applies to proposed claims 118-139.	
entered because the proposed amendment would raise new paragraph, claim 130 under 112, first paragraph, new mat	w grounds of rejection over claims 118-139 under 112, second
paragraph, claim 100 and 112, mat paragraph, new mat	ter, and claim 104 ander section 105.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	dments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
i) 🛱 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	rate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MP	OM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE